

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 1			
10/609,626	07/01/2003	Yoshihisa Fujimoto	1035-456 4983			
23117	7590 01/12/2004		EXAMINER			
NIXON & V	NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			NGUYEN, MINH T		
1100 N GLEB	BE ROAD			<del> </del>		
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714			2816			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				NN				
	Application No		Applicant(s)					
	10/609,626		FUJIMOTO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Minh Nguyen		2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		_1						
<u> </u>	action is non-fin							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 16-39 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-39</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a)	$oxtimes$ accepted or ${}^{ m t}$	<ul><li>)☐ objected to by</li></ul>	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/932,025.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)		7 · · · · · · · ·	DTO 445) D:	>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7/2	5)	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other: .						

#### DETAILED ACTION

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings filed on 9/9/03 appears to be for other application, not for this application. Clarification is requested. The drawings filed on 7/1/03 are accepted and are used for current examination.

## Specification

- 2. The priority information in the specification should be updated, i.e., insert the phrase --, now US Patent No. 6,608,504 --.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long and uses phrase which can be implied. The abstract which was presented in the parent application can be used to overcome the objection.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2816

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As per claims 36-39, the specification does not enable the resistor is realized by an ON resistor of a transistor as recited in each of these claims.

#### **Double Patenting**

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 16-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,608,504. This is a double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2816

As per claims 16 and 20, these claims are identical to claims 1-2, respectively. The differences between claims 1-2 of the prior and claimed 16-20 of the present invention are the terms "phase compensator capacitor" and "phase compensator resistor" replaced by "capacitor" and "resistor", respectively. However, these terms are considered equivalent because their positions in the circuits are identical and the specification does not disclose any different between these terms.

As per claim 17, this claim is identical to claim 3 of the prior.

As per claims 18-19, these claims are identical to claims 4-5, respectively.

As per claims 21-22, 25 and 31, these claims are identical to claims 6-7 and 8-9, respectively.

As per claims 23-24 and 32, these claims are identical to claims 10-12, respectively.

As per claims 26-27, 30 and 33, these claims are identical to claims 13-16, respectively.

As per claims 28-29 and 34, these claims are identical to claims 17-19, respectively.

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,626

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 35-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,608,504. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

As per claim 35, this claim is identical to claim 2 when the sample and hold circuit has two operational amplifier stages.

As per claim 36, the claim calls for implementing the resistor using an ON resistor of a transistor whereas the claim of the prior is a resistor.

The examiner takes Official Notice the fact that using an ON resistance of a transistor in place of a resistor in a circuit is a well-known practice because the physical size of a transistor implemented to be functioned as a resistor in an integrated circuit is much smaller than a resistor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the resistor in the sample and hold circuit claimed in claim 17 by an ON resistor of a transistor for the motivation of reducing the real-estate of the circuit.

As per claims 37-39, these claims are rejected for the same reasons and motivation as discussed in claim 36.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,626

Art Unit: 2816

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816